

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,692	08/	11/2003	HSIANG-LAN LUNG	10156-US-PA	10156-US-PA 1691	
31561	7590	04/14/2006		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CH	YUN INTE	LLECTUAL PR	WILSON, ALLAN R			
7 FLOOR-1, ROOSEVEL	,	ECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	00			2815		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			y C			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/604,692	LUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan R. Wilson	2815				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation appreciation for Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	5			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.	•				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8-12 and 35-37</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,4-6,8-12 and 35-37 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			, 121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stag	е			
application from the International Bureau	ม (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6, 8-10 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,894,344 to Kamigaki et al ("Kamigaki").

With regards to claim 1, Kamigaki illustrates in figures 1-114 (entire document), particularly figures 2, a substrate 1; a charge-trapping layer 2-1 and 2-2 on the substrate; a split gate 7-1 on the charge-trapping layer, wherein the split gate is composed of at least two separated conductive pieces and the conductive pieces are electrically connected to a common voltage source (through 5); and including at least one split region directly over the charge-trapping layer; and a source/drain 4-1/4-2 in the substrate beside the split gate.

With regards to claim 1, the limitation "the charge-trapping layer around the split region serves as a coding region" is an inherent function of the structure and since the prior art has the same structure and materials as the claimed invention it will have the same inherent function as a memory device.

With regards to claim 4, Kamigaki illustrates in fig. 2 the conductive pieces of the split gate include a pair of conductive spacers 7-1 and a conductive layer 6 between the pair of conductive spacers.

With regards to claim 5, Kamigaki illustrates in fig. 2 the pair of conductive spacers 7-1 are arranged with two substantially vertical sidewalls thereof adjacent to the source/drain 4-1/4-2.

With regards to claim 6, Kamigaki illustrates in fig. 2 an insulator (inherent) on the source/drain, wherein the pair of conductive spacers 7-1 are disposed on the sidewalls of the insulator.

With regards to claim 8, Kamigaki illustrates in fig. 2 the conductive pieces 6 and 7-1 are separated from each other by a dielectric layer (inherent).

With regards to claim 9, Kamigaki discloses in col. 18, lines 39-40, the split gate 6-1 and 6-2 comprises polysilicon.

With regards to claim 10, Kamigaki discloses in col. 6, lines 16-26, the charge-trapping layer comprises a silicon nitride layer disposed between two silicon oxide layers.

With regards to claims 35-37, the claimed "programming operation" is not considered to add any structure to the claimed device and is considered to be intended use of the device. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte* Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill

in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 is rejected under 35 USC § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kamigaki as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,257,832 to Schwabe et al. ("Schwabe") of record.

With regards to claim 11, Yang is discussed above, it does not show the charge-trapping layer comprises aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃). Schwabe discloses in col. 3, lines 11-15 a charge-trapping layer comprises aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Al₂O₃ to function as a tunnel oxide.

With regards to claim 12, Schwabe illustrates in fig. 5 the substrate comprises a p-substrate 11, and the source/drain comprises an n-type source/drain 14/19.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-6, 8-12 and 35-37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from an examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Allan Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1738. Examiner Wilson can normally be reached 6:00-4:30 Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan R. Wilson Primary Examiner 1 April 2006